

Meeting No. 1 –	Meeting Date April 1, 2008	
Orientation Meeting Meeting Time 4:30 – 5:40 p.m.	Recorded By A. Charles	
Attendees: Members: Amin Almuti H. Andy Frankl Linda Lautenber Elmo Wedderbu James Zumwa	in Lawrence Donovan ger William Kaplan urn Claudia Spencer	Staff: A. Charles T. Horton K. Mayo M. McArthur C. Westphall
Agenda Item	Action Taken	
Welcome & Introduction	Introduction of Members, Alternates, and Staff present.	
Public Comment	No comments.	
Project Overview	Staff provided an overview of the Eartho	quake Safety Program.
	The Committee asked if all of the funding for the Earthquake Safety Program is currently available. Staff indicated the funding is currently available.	
	The Committee asked how much of the program is their responsibility to review and question. Is it the committee's responsibility to question the costs and the retrofits that are being proposed? Staff indicated that there are two independent review panels and substantial oversight for the technical portion of the program. There are very specific responsibilities for the COC, which are to ensure that the tax proceeds are being used to pay for earthquake safety retrofits and not other BART programs. Staff is happy to discuss any other aspects of the program with the COC.	
	COC Members from the original committ	ee commented that in the last



	two years, the COC has raised questions on technical issues in the	
	direction of schedule, cost, cost reduction, etc. BART has been open	
	to answering questions.	
COC Function	Staff provided an overview of the function of the Citizens' Oversight	
Overview	Committee.	
	COC Members from the original committee commented that they have	
	checked to see if BART has gone through the processes you'd	
	normally use and to see if things were done with best practices.	
	Contingency and escalation are also big factors in the retrofit and they	
	have been brought up.	
	The Committee asked if the funding from other sources is spread out	
	on the program elements listed on the Bond Financial Report or if	
	they are they earmarked for specific things. Staff indicated that the	
	funds are earmarked for certain items. RM2 funding is specifically for	
	the tube. Caltrans money is specifically for aerial structures that cross	
	over local streets and highways.	
	The Committee asked about the terminology on the Bond Financial	
	Report; is the forecast basically the budget? Staff indicated that the	
	forecast should match the budget. The forecast total should always	
	add up to \$980 million. Staff indicated that they would break out	
	management reserve as a separate line item for the next Bond	
	Financial Report. The Committee wanted further clarification that the	
	forecast plus the management reserve would equal the budget. Staff	
	confirmed this statement.	



	The Committee asked about the bond costs. Staff explained that the
	bond costs are built into the bond when it is issued. The line item for
	"Bond Costs" on the Financial Report has become another reserve for
	the project.
	The Committee asked if BART intends to return the bond funds that
	are not used. Staff indicated that bonds would only be issued for the
	funds needed for the retrofit.
	The Committee asked if the public's tax bills for this item will vary
	based on the number of bonds BART issues. Staff confirmed that they
	would.
	The Committee asked where the interest cost comes from for the
	bond. Staff indicated that interest costs would be built into the value
	of the tax and is not included in the bond.
Review of Legal	The Committee asked if there was a conflict of interest would a
Matters	member need to recuse themselves. Staff indicated that this has not
	yet happened and would have to be a topic of discussion when and if
	it occurs.
Selection of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson	Committee members asked for clarification of the function of the
	alternates. Should they plan to attend all of the meetings? Do they
	get to vote? The members commented that it would seem that that
	would be the only way to be able to serve as an alternate. Staff
	indicated that the alternates are treated the same as the members,
	with the exception being that they do not vote. Attendance is
	recommended so that in the event that a chair needs to step down
	the alternate can take over.



The Committee asked how often the chair reports to the BART Board. Staff indicated that the chair would make annual reports to the BART Board.

	COC Members from the previous committee commented that they had
	been involved in the front end planning and reviewing how things are
	organized. Once construction begins the COC will need to watch
	progress verses cost. The members also indicated that the audit team
	came and presented at the last meeting; will a report be provided?
	Staff indicated that the audit team is compiling a report and it will be
	presented when complete. The past COC Members informed the new
	Committee that at the last meeting the group asked the audit
	department to review their meeting materials to determine if they
	have been performing their duties and fulfilling their responsibilities.
	The past COC members suggested that this is something the COC
	might want to do annually.
	H. Andy Franklin was nominated and elected as the Chair for the 2008
	Citizens' Oversight Committee.
	Jim Zumwalt was nominated and elected as the Vice Chair for the
	2008 Citizens' Oversight Committee.
<i>Selection of Future Meeting Date</i>	The Committee asked if the schedule lends itself to key points for
	meeting. Staff indicated that they would review the schedule to make
	some recommendations for the next meeting. Staff estimates that
	there will be seven or eight contracts advertised this year. The
	Committee indicated that it would be more effective to meet after the
	bids are received, either before or after the contracts are awarded by
	the BART Board.



	The Committee asked if there was an engineer's estimate for the \$980 million or if it is for the full \$1.3 billion. Staff indicated that there was a baseline budget, which would be the equivalent of an engineer's estimate, for the \$1.3 billion. Staff indicated that they could create a baseline budget for the \$980 million for the next meeting.
<i>Request to Add Items to Future Meeting Agenda</i>	A transition meeting was previously scheduled for May 29 th . It will provide an opportunity for the previous COC members to transition to the new members. This meeting date is a conflict for two of the new committee members and will be rescheduled.
Expense Report	Staff provided an overview of the types of expenses that are reimbursable. This includes costs for travel to the meeting such as BART fares, mileage, bus fare, and parking.
Public Comment	No comments.
Adjournment	Meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:40 p.m.