



**Bay Area
Rapid Transit**



Board of Directors Meeting: Redistricting Map Update

Presentation

March 10, 2022



Agenda

- Review: Redistricting considerations
- Recap of BART redistricting maps
- Intro to Plan E2
- Discussion

Redistricting Considerations

- Public and Director input from previous Board meeting (02/24/2022)
- Redistricting best practices
- Geographically contiguous and compact districts
- BART stations, Cities, Census Designated Places

Incumbent and Candidate Locations

- California Constitution, Article XXI, Section 2(e)

← → ↻ leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=CONS§ionNum=SEC.%202.&article=XXI 🔍 📄 ☆ ⚙️ 👤

(e) The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall not be considered in the creation of a map. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.

California FAIR MAPS Act – AB 849

- Board resolution, January 2022, “...*that BART’s redistricting strategy greatly aligns with the requirements set forth in the FAIR MAPS Act...*”
- Section 21500 of the Elections Code, Item (d)
- Districts shall not be adopted for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party

PUC: BART Redistricting

- Public Utilities Code, Division 10 Transit Districts; Part 2: San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit; Chapter 3: Government of District, Article 2.2
- *Boundaries of the election districts need not conform to the boundaries of counties, cities, cities and counties, or districts, or of wards, or election districts established for the nomination or election of any officers thereof, or of Assembly, Senate, or Congressional Districts*
- GEOinovo has made every effort to maintain geographic integrity of cities and places

BART: Redistricting Map Summary

- Plan A, B, C: original plans by demographer
- Plan D, E: Public + Director Input
- Plan E2: Additional Director Input
- Public 101: Not viable
- Public 102, 103, 104

BART: Current Map Candidates

- Plan A, B, C: original plans by demographer
- Plan D and E: Public + Director Input
- *NEW*: Plan E2: Additional Director Input
- ~~Public 101: Not viable~~
- Public 102, 103, and 104

Plan E

Comment	Director/Public	Plan E
Chinatown	Director Li	✓
Bayview District	Director Li	✓
Marina District	Director Li	✓
City of Clayton	Director Allen, Mayor Cloven	✓
Morgan Territory Rd	Director Allen	✓
City of Orinda	Director Allen	✓
City of Lafayette	Director Allen	✓
Concord Station	Director Allen	✓
Martinez Station + population	Director Foley	✓
Sunol / Vargas Plateau / Niles Canyon	Director Ames	✓
Oakland, African American pop	Director Raburn, Public	✓
City of Oakland (2 districts)	Director Raburn, Public	✓
El Cerrito Plaza	President Saltzman	✓
City of El Cerrito	President Saltzman	✓
City of Albany	President Saltzman	✓
Coliseum / OAK linked together	President Saltzman, Director Raburn	✓
D3: Geographic compactness	Pres. Saltzman, Dir. McPartland, Allen	✓
El Cerrito Plaza Station	President Saltzman	✓



Plan E2

- Plan E + actionable feedback from previous Board meeting (02/24/2022)

Comment	Director/Public	Plan E2
Pacific Heights	Director Li	✓
Marina District	Director Li	✓
Increased Latino influence, D9	Director Dufty	✓
W Oakland to D7	Director Simon, Dufty, McPartland, Li	✓
W Oakland, MacArthur in D7	Director Simon	✓
Oakland, African American pop	Director Simon	✓
District 4: Urban Core District	Director Raburn	✓

Plan E and E2: Director Input

- Identical or nearly identical: District 1, 2, 3, and 6
- Differences: 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9
- Population variance: E: 0.07%, E2: 0.09%



Plan E2: District 1, 2, 3

- District 1: Clayton, Moraga, Lafayette, Orinda, Morgan Territory Rd; 4 BART stations (incl. Concord Station) + 1 shared
- District 2: Five (5) BART stations + northern half of Martinez
- District 3: Improved geographic compactness; Five (5) BART stations + 2 shared stations, NW Contra Costa County cities, Albany, Berkeley

Plan E2: District 4 ,5, 6

- District 4: E. Oakland, San Leandro, NW Hayward; 87.6% protected-class district, 6 BART stations + 2 shared (Hayward, S. Hayward)
- District 5: SE Alameda County; 3 BART stations + 1 shared (Hayward)
- District 6: Union City, Newark, Fremont, SW Hayward; 3 BART stations + 1 shared (S. Hayward)

Plan E2: District 7 ,8, 9

- District 7: SF Bayview District + W. Oakland, Piedmont, Alameda; 6 BART stations + 1 shared
- District 8: Chinatown, Marina District, Pacific Heights, Richmond District, Sunset District, Balboa Park; 1 BART station + 5 shared
- District 9: Central SF (incl. Western Addition, Mission District, Potrero District), extend to County line; 2 BART stations + 5 shared

Plan E2 : Statistics


District Number (Plan E2)	Total Pop	Latino	Latino %	White	White %	Black	Black %	Native American	Native American %	Asian	Asian %	Hawaiian/Pac Islander	Hawaiian/Pac Islander %	Other	Other %	Two or More Races	Two or More Races %
Total	3,726,530	846,063	22.7%	1,269,441	34.1%	330,986	8.9%	26,480	0.7%	1,134,525	30.4%	26,271	0.7%	49,441	1.3%	43,323	1.2%
District 1	414,241	62,088	15.0%	241,984	58.4%	11,405	2.8%	3,529	0.9%	83,963	20.3%	1,505	0.4%	6,608	1.6%	3,159	0.8%
District 2	414,013	145,478	35.1%	136,522	33.0%	53,035	12.8%	4,923	1.2%	59,137	14.3%	4,032	1.0%	4,950	1.2%	5,936	1.4%
District 3	414,028	121,836	29.4%	127,754	30.9%	51,924	12.5%	2,546	0.6%	94,827	22.9%	1,839	0.4%	7,610	1.8%	5,692	1.4%
District 4	413,886	185,247	44.8%	51,477	12.4%	68,682	16.6%	2,278	0.6%	90,989	22.0%	6,203	1.5%	3,225	0.8%	5,785	1.4%
District 5	414,114	65,022	15.7%	155,515	37.6%	21,185	5.1%	3,532	0.9%	157,352	38.0%	2,125	0.5%	5,192	1.3%	4,191	1.0%
District 6	414,035	79,915	19.3%	64,775	15.6%	15,954	3.9%	2,020	0.5%	238,757	57.7%	4,672	1.1%	3,296	0.8%	4,646	1.1%
District 7	414,080	61,531	14.9%	154,824	37.4%	69,175	16.7%	3,072	0.7%	109,435	26.4%	2,992	0.7%	6,529	1.6%	6,522	1.6%
District 8	414,072	44,457	10.7%	171,654	41.5%	13,301	3.2%	1,934	0.5%	172,438	41.6%	1,087	0.3%	5,846	1.4%	3,355	0.8%
District 9	414,061	80,489	19.4%	164,936	39.8%	26,325	6.4%	2,646	0.6%	127,627	30.8%	1,816	0.4%	6,185	1.5%	4,037	1.0%

District Number (Plan E2)	Total CVAP	Latino	Latino %	White	White %	Black	Black %	Asian	Asian %	Other	Other %
Total	2,491,731	399,330	16.0%	1,124,352	45.1%	258,919	10.4%	674,522	27.1%	34,608	1.4%
District 1	294,119	29,990	10.2%	209,382	71.2%	7,480	2.5%	43,844	14.9%	3,423	1.2%
District 2	264,301	65,415	24.8%	123,582	46.8%	35,947	13.6%	33,979	12.9%	5,378	2.0%
District 3	267,399	50,264	18.8%	110,998	41.5%	41,750	15.6%	60,080	22.5%	4,307	1.6%
District 4	238,108	71,337	30.0%	49,416	20.8%	56,136	23.6%	57,393	24.1%	3,826	1.6%
District 5	255,178	30,240	11.9%	139,043	54.5%	17,098	6.7%	65,760	25.8%	3,037	1.2%
District 6	257,291	47,860	18.6%	67,200	26.1%	15,172	5.9%	123,487	48.0%	3,572	1.4%
District 7	290,887	29,943	10.3%	131,547	45.2%	54,054	18.6%	71,326	24.5%	4,017	1.4%
District 8	330,065	29,936	9.1%	152,164	46.1%	11,628	3.5%	132,812	40.2%	3,525	1.1%
District 9	294,383	44,345	15.1%	141,020	47.9%	19,654	6.7%	85,841	29.2%	3,523	1.2%


Plan E2: Differences (District 1, 3)

- District 1: Extend to Contra Costa County line
- Population change: D1: +1, D3: -1

District 3-E: Maintain boundary at City of Oakland




District 3-2E: District 1 to Alameda County line




Plan E2: Differences (District 4)

District 4: E. Oakland, San Leandro (Fruitvale to S. Hayward), urban core district

Plan E: E. Oakland, Alameda County line




Plan E2: Urban Core district




Plan E2: District 4

Population: 87.6% Protected-class, urban core district


Latino Influence



African American Influence




Asian Influence




Plan E2: Differences (District 5)

District 5: Foothills to Alameda County line,
Castro Valley

Plan E: Smaller, populated area to S. Hayward station




Plan E2: Foothills to County line, Castro Valley




Plan E2: Differences (District 7)

District 7: Alameda, enhanced geographic compactness, 62.6% protected class

Plan E



Plan E2




Plan E2: Differences (District 8, 9)


District 8: Pacific Heights

District 9: Extend to SF County line, +Latino influence

District 8: Pacific Heights



District 9: Latino influence, Mission St, Hwy 101 as dividing lines



E2 Summary

- Plan E2 implements actionable feedback from the previous Board meeting (02/24/2022)
- District 4: Urban core + 87.6% protected-class population
- District 7: SF Bayview District, W. Oakland, Piedmont, Alameda; 62.6% protected-class
- District 8: Pacific Heights, Chinatown
- Population variance: 0.09%

Conclusion

- Public and Director engagement, 9 viable maps; 2011 redistricting: 2 maps
- Maps follow redistricting best practices for population balance, geographic contiguity, etc.
- Board resolution (01/13/2022) to adopt California FAIR MAPS Act for increased transparency
- Establish BART districts for the next 10 years

Open Discussion