

BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

MONTHLY REPORT

June 2023

Issue date: August 14, 2023

This report is filed pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-05 (B), which requires the Office of the Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) to submit reports to the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB). This report provides information for the period June 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023.¹ (The Quantitative Report includes all complaints received and administrative investigations initiated by both OIPA and the BART Police Department (BPD) Internal Affairs Bureau (IA)).

	Cases Filed ²	Open Cases ³	Investigations Resolved	OIPA Investigations Concluded ⁴	Cases Appealed to OIPA⁵	Cases Appealed by BPCRB6
June 2022	8	87	7	1	0	0
July 2022	10	91	5	0	0	0
August 2022	10	85	17	2	0	0
September 2022	11	90	7	0	0	0
October 2022	5	82	13	1	0	0
November 2022	5	84	3	1	0	0
December 2022	5	86	3	0	0	0
January 2023	6	87	5	0	0	0
February 2023	12	91	9	1	0	0
March 2023	10	98	4	1	0	0
April 2023	12	99	10	1	0	0
May 2023	10	101	6	1	1	0
June 2023	7	103	6	1	2	0

QUANTITATIVE REPORT

TYPES OF CASES FILED

Citizen Complaints (Formal)	13
Informal Complaints ⁷	0
Administrative Investigations	0
Inquiries ⁸	0
TOTAL	13

CITIZEN COMPLAINTS RECEIVED PER DEPARTMENT⁹

OIPA	6
BART Police Department	7
TOTAL	13

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

Complaint # (OIPA Case #) (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (OIPA #23-19) (IA2023-057)	Officer #1: • Courtesy	OIPA notified BPD which BPD initiated an investigation.	67
2 (OIPA #23-16) (IA2023-051)	Officer #1: • Bias-Based Policing • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer • Policy/Procedure	OIPA initiated an investigation.	62

During June 2023, 1 Citizen Complaint was received by OIPA:

During June 2023, 3 Citizen Complaints (Formal) were received by BPD:

Complaint # IA Case #	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2023-050)	Officer #1: • Force	BPD initiated an investigation.	46
2 (IA2023-053)	Officer #1: • Bias-Based Policing • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer Officer #2: • Policy/Procedure	BPD initiated an investigation.	59
3 (IA2023-056)	Officer #1: • Courtesy	BPD initiated an investigation.	53

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED DURING PRIOR REPORTING PERIOD

During April 2023, 2 Citizen Complaints were received by BPD but not previously reported:

Complaint # IA Case #	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2023-052)	Officer #1: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	BPD initiated an investigation.	109
2 (IA2023-058)	Officer #1: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	BPD initiated an investigation.	109

During May 2023, 1 Citizen Complaint was received by BPD but not previously reported:

Complaint # IA Case #	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2023-054)	Officer #1: • Force	BPD initiated an investigation.	100

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS CONCLUDED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During June 2023, 3 Citizen Complaints were concluded by BPD:

(IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2022-045)	Officer improperly arrested complainant and mistreated complainant based on complainant's race and officers used excessive force during the contact.	Officers #1-2: • Force – Exonerated Officer #2: • Bias-Based Policing – Unfounded • Arrest/Detention – Exonerated	418	351
2 (IA2022-049)	Officer failed to provide medical attention and improperly ejected complainant from BART property.	Officer #1: • Performance of Duty – Exonerated	408	341
3 (IA2022-051)	Officer mishandled complainant's property and used excessive force during an arrest.	Officer #1: • Force – Exonerated • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer – Not Sustained	409	363

Investigation # (IA Case #)	Nature of Allegations	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Investigation Initiated	Days Taken to Address Allegation
1 (IA2022-040)	Officer used excessive force and failed to properly document a law enforcement contact and two officers did not take appropriate law enforcement action in connection with the contact.	Officer #1: • Force – Exonerated • Policy/Procedure (Body Worn Camera) – Sustained • Officers #1-2: • Performance of Duty – Sustained	439	394*
2 (IA2022-041)	Officers harassed a coworker.	Officer #1: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer – Sustained Officers #1-2: • Workplace Discrimination/Harassment – Not Sustained	434	379
3 (IA2022-044)	Officers mishandled property and evidence.	Officers #1-2: • Performance of Duty – Sustained Officer #3: • Performance of Duty – Not Sustained	418	347

During June 2023, 3 Administrative Investigations were concluded by BPD:

DISCIPLINE ISSUED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During June 2023, BPD took the following actions in cases where one or more allegations of misconduct were sustained:

Case #	Nature of Sustained Allegation(s) †	Classification of Sustained Allegation(s)	Action Taken
1	Officer did not properly document a law enforcement contact.	Officer #1: • Policy/Procedure (Body Worn Camera)	Officer #1: • Letter of Discussion ¹⁰

^{*} BPD reported that this case was tolled due to the subject officers' unavailability.

[†]Some details regarding the nature of sustained allegations may be withheld to avoid unintentionally breaching mandatory confidentiality requirements. In some instances, the relative infrequency of the alleged misconduct may tend to allow for identification of the subject officer in violation of the applicable CA Penal Code section (832.7).

2	Officer did not properly document a law enforcement contact.	Officer #1: • Policy/Procedure (Body Worn Camera)	Officer #1: • Written Reprimand ¹¹
3	Officer mishandled property and evidence.	Officer #1: • Performance of Duty (Custody of Prisoners)	Officer #1: • Written Reprimand
4	Officer failed to employ required de-escalation tactics prior to using force.	Officer #1: • Force (De-escalation)	Officer #1: • Oral Counseling ¹²

ADDITIONAL NOTES

In accordance with the BART Citizen Oversight Model (Model), OIPA investigates certain complaints, conducts complainant-initiated appeals, and monitors and/or reviews complaint investigations conducted by BPD. Though potentially work-intensive, some complaint investigation reviews are completed informally, with any concerns being addressed through a conference with BPD's Internal Affairs investigators. Noting the various kinds of work that OIPA undertakes with regard to complaints and investigations, the following chart includes some of the pending cases in which OIPA is involved as of the end of this reporting period.

Investigations Being Conducted	7
Complainant-Initiated Appeals	3
BPD-Initiated Appeals	0
Investigations Being Monitored	28
Investigations Reviewed During Current Month	17†

[†]This number does not include all OIPA reviews, as OIPA commonly looks at a variety of cases in the Internal Affairs database to obtain updates on both pending and completed investigations.

The Model provides that OIPA shall have authority to require follow-up investigation into any citizen complaint or allegation that is handled by BPD.¹³ The OIPA Monthly Report will reflect information regarding monitored cases with detail not to exceed that which is allowable under state law. The investigations reviewed by OIPA during the period did not generate any notable recommendations for revisions or additional investigation.

¹ In addition to reporting on complaints received by the BART Police Department, the Citizen Oversight Model requires reporting on all complaints received by the "Citizen Board, Office of the District Secretary, and other District departments." As complaints received by the BART Police Citizen Review Board are customarily directed to OIPA for further action, such complaints are included in the Quantitative Report above; OIPA is also made aware of additional complaints about the BART Police Department by the Office of the District Secretary or other District departments.

² This number includes all Citizen Complaints filed against members of the BART Police Department, as well as Administrative Investigations generated internally by BART Police Department members (as opposed to being filed by a citizen). This number also includes previously completed cases that have been re-opened during the current reporting period.

³ This number indicates all investigations that are open as of the end of the reporting period. It includes Citizen Complaints (regardless of whether the investigation is being conducted by OIPA, the BART Police Department, or both) and Administrative Investigations.

⁴ This number includes all cases completed by OIPA during the reporting period for which OIPA's findings are required by the BART Citizen Oversight Model to be submitted to the BART Police Citizen Review Board. It therefore includes independent investigations, as well as reviews of completed BART Police Department investigations initiated via appeal from a complainant. Unless otherwise noted, it does not include reviews of BART Police Department investigations initiated at the discretion of OIPA, which happen commonly and do not always generate a formal report; it also does not include reviews conducted by OIPA of complaint investigations where the complaint was filed with OIPA but did not fall under OIPA's investigative jurisdiction.

⁵ This number refers to appeals filed with OIPA by complainants who have been issued the findings of the BART Police Department's internal investigation into their complaint regarding on-duty incidents. OIPA has a responsibility to review such appeals pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (E).

⁶ This number refers to all appeals initiated by the BART Police Citizen Review Board after receiving and reviewing the findings issued by OIPA in a given case. The routes of all such appeals are described in detail in the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (B) (iv-v).

⁷ The BART Police Department defines an Informal Complaint as, "A comment on the actions of a Department employee, where the reporting party expressly states that he or she does not feel that the matter should be formally investigated with the understanding that an Informal Complaint does not hold the potential to result in disciplinary action against the employee." (BART Police Department Policy Manual, Policy 1020.1.1(d)).

⁸ BPD policy provides that if a person alleges or raises an issue that does not constitute a violation of Department policy, procedure, rules, regulations, or the law, the Department will classify the issue as an inquiry.

⁹ It is important to note that OIPA does not separate citizen complaints it receives into "Formal" and "Informal" classifications. This chart reflects all citizen complaints received by OIPA and all Formal Complaints received by the BART Police Department.

¹⁰ Letter of Discussion (second level of pre-discipline): A letter of discussion may be the next step of the process of the informal process. It is a written memorandum to the employee making the employee aware of the unacceptable behavior. A letter of discussion is pre-disciplinary, however, if the employee fails to correct the behavior, there will be cause to move to the next level of the process or to move to formal progressive discipline. An employee who may be issued a letter of discussion is entitled to appropriate representation. (BPD Policy Manual).

¹¹ Written Reprimand (first level of formal discipline): If there have been no re-occurrences at the end of the time frames as determined by the collective bargaining agreement (up to 3 years), the immediate supervisor shall meet with the employee and advise him/her that the progressive discipline has become inactive and has been removed from the employee's personnel files.

¹² Oral Counseling (third level of pre-discipline): An oral counseling may be the next step of the informal process. It is documented in a memorandum to the employee entitled "Oral Counseling." Prior to issuance, the supervisor should discuss the performance or infraction in detail with the employee. The purpose of the discussion is for the employee to be made aware of the unacceptable behavior. An employee who is covered by a collective bargaining agreement and who may be issued an Oral Counseling is entitled to appropriate association representation. An Oral Counseling is pre-disciplinary, however, if the employee fails to correct the behavior, there will be cause to move to progressive discipline.

¹³ OIPA may submit recommendations to IA regarding minor clerical or record-keeping adjustments which are intended to maintain the integrity of the data collection and record-keeping processes at BPD. These are not considered by OIPA to be substantive recommendations requiring reporting herein.