

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT AB 716 REPORT

March 31

2016

This report to the California State Legislature summarizes the 3rd year of BART's exclusion policy pursuant to AB 716. The report contains data gathered from documented calls of service from station agents and patrons regarding incidents occurring on BART property and trains in addition to statistics obtained from BART police officers.

Assembly Bill 716

Table of Contents

Message from the Chief of Police	3
Message from BART Transit Security Advisory Committee	4
Overview of the Transit Security Advisory Committee	5
Transit Security Advisory Committee Members	6
Annual Report Introduction	8
Summary of Assembly Bill 716	9
BART Transit System Profile	10
Conclusions and Observations	11
2015 Prohibition Statistics	15
2014 Prohibition Statistics	21

Message from the Chief of Police

Honorable Members of the California State Legislature,

BART currently carries 440,000 passengers on a typical weekday. This record ridership means trains and stations are more crowded than ever, creating unique challenges for the BART Police Department in ensuring the safety and security of our riders.

In the second full year of reporting for BART's exclusionary policy pursuant to AB 716, there were actually fewer prohibition orders issued compared to the previous year. This downward trend is consistent with the overall ten percent (10%) decrease in Part 1 crimes reported throughout the system, and this is the second year in a row we have posted a decrease in violent reported Part 1 crimes.

The BART Police Department believes having the authority to exclude individuals who commit violent crimes on BART property or cause drug related public safety concerns has been a great asset to implementing an effective public safety strategy. With the recent surge in ridership, AB716 is one of many safety measures BART is utilizing to protect its riders and employees and this annual report demonstrates that.

Going forward, we will continue to gather and analyze data regarding passenger behavior and crimes committed at BART in order to develop best practices and refine policies. Thank you for your continued support.

Kenton W. Rainey Chief of Police

Message from the Transit Security Advisory Committee

Members of the California State Legislature,

The Transit Security Advisory Committee (TSAC) for the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) is the citizen and community oversight committee that works to ensure Assembly Bill 716 (Dickinson) is implemented as the Legislature intended.

For the second year in a row, reported violent crimes are down within the BART Transit District. Statistically, incidents of passenger misconduct has shown a decline as well, but still is perceived to be a problem. Effectively addressing real or perceived passenger misconduct is critical if we are to expand the use of public transit to meet a variety of state environmental and economic goals. Assembly Bill 716 has provided BART a useful tool to better protect public transit passengers and public transit employees.

This report to the Legislature represents a twelve month time period from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015. BART and the TSAC appreciate the extension of authority that the California State Legislature and Governor have given to BART to fully continue this important program that improves station safety. While we have made important conclusions in this report, we look forward to a longer implementation period after this extended pilot project, to better focus our efforts.

The TSAC is dedicated to finding solutions to problems which impact the safety of BART, its riders and employees. Along with our other public safety initiatives, we will continue to work to address passenger misconduct through the BART prohibition policy allowed by AB 716 and report results to the state legislature on an annual basis.

Sincerely,

Janet Ableson
TSAC Chairperson

Overview of the Transit Security Advisory Committee

As required by Assembly Bill 716 (Dickinson, 2011) BART established the Transit Security Advisory Committee (TSAC), an oversight group comprised of at least five citizens that serve together as a volunteer review body for the implementation of BART's exclusion policy. Drawing from their private and public sector experiences, the committee brings a diverse set of skills to the planning and execution of the exclusion policy.

The advisory committee members are appointed by the BART Board of Directors. At least one of the advisory committee members has experience working with individuals with psychiatric or other disabilities and youth services. In addition, at least one advisory committee member is from the bargaining union representing BART Station Agents.

The advisory committee is tasked with the following:

- (1) Providing recommendations, in consultation with the county mental health directors within the service area of the transit district, regarding the type and extent of training that should be undertaken by individuals with responsibility for issuance and enforcement of prohibition orders, with particular emphasis on training designed to assist those individuals in identifying and interacting with persons who are homeless or who have psychiatric or other disabilities.
- (2) Identifying, in consultation with the county mental health directors within the service area of the transit district, services and programs to which persons who are homeless or who have psychiatric, developmental, or other disabilities may be referred by transit district enforcement personnel prior to or in conjunction with issuance of a prohibition order.
- (3) Monitoring the issuance of prohibition orders to assist the transit district in ensuring compliance with Section 51 of the Civil Code.
- (4) Providing the governing board of the transit district and the Legislature with an annual report summarizing the number of prohibition orders that were issued by the transit district during the preceding year, including, but not limited to, the types and numbers of citations by category, and the number of exclusion orders appealed, the appeals granted, the reasons granted, and other relevant information directly related to those orders.

2015 TSAC Members

Janet Abelson, Mayor Pro Tem, City of El Cerrito

TSAC Chairperson

Accessibility Task Force

City Hall, 10890 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, CA 94530

Cell: (510) 693-8115

E-mail: abeljanet@aol.com

Chris Finn, ATU 1555 President

Bay Area Rapid Transit District

132 Ninth Street, Oakland, CA 94607

Office: (510) 763-1555 x12; (800) 559-2625; Fax (510) 763-1436

E-mail: president@atu1555.org

Tyrone R. Forte, Lieutenant

Bay Area Rapid Transit District Police Department

800 Madison Street, P.O. Box 12688, Oakland, CA 94604-2688

Office: (510) 464-7012; Fax (510) 464-7793; Blkb (510) 915-2453

E-mail: tforte@bart.gov; www. bart.gov/police

Luis Ortega, Community Organizer

Councilmember Noel Gallo's Office, City of Oakland, District 5

1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 472-2013 Fax: (510) 238-6129, Main Office: (510) 238-7005

E-mail: <u>lao270violence@hotmail.com</u>; <u>www.galloforoakland.com</u>

2015 TSAC Members (Continued)

Armando Sandoval, Crisis Intervention Training Coordinator/Community Outreach Liaison

TSAC - Vice Chairperson

Bay Area Rapid Transit District Police Department

800 Madison Street, Oakland, CA 94604-2688

Office: (510) 464-7089; Cell: (510) 821-0471

E-mail: ASando2@bart.gov

Roy Wilson, Youth Services

Director, Martin Luther King, Jr. Freedom Center

333 East 8th Street, Oakland, CA 94606

Office: (510) 610-5446; Cell: (206) 571-6653

E-mail: roy@mlkfreedomcenter.org; www.mlkfreedomcenter.org

Crystal Raine, BART Police Department- Staff Liaison

Community Service Officer / COPPS Unit Coordinator

800 Madison Street, Oakland, CA 94604

Office: (510) 464-7052; Cell: (510) 507-2805

Email: craine@bart.gov; www. bart.gov/police

Annual Report Introduction

Like other public transit systems in the state, over the years BART had received an increasing number of complaints from riders and employees regarding safety onboard trains, in parking lots and stations. The BART Board of Directors believes that the safety of its workers and riders must be an ongoing priority. For this reason, in 2010 BART sought to be included in state legislation (AB 716) that reauthorized the program assisting crime control for the Sacramento Regional Transit District and Fresno Area Express.

As amended, AB 716 authorized the creation of a three-year pilot project where BART could focus on reducing the number of passenger disruptions and improving overall service through a process that would exclude passengers cited for certain offenses.

AB 716 authorized additional transit security measures to curb passenger misconduct and reduce nuisance behavior. In its first full year with authority to implement a "prohibition order" program, BART worked to reduce the number of passenger disruptions and improve overall service. The law provides for an appeals process should individuals who are transit-dependent be cited and for training of transit personnel to recognize and facilitate the needs of those experiencing homelessness or who may have psychiatric disabilities. The law also outlines the composition and duties of an oversight committee to monitor the number of citations and exclusions issued by the transit district. This committee oversees the training of transit personnel in dealing with passengers with special needs.

I. Summary of Assembly Bill 716

The purpose of AB 716 is to enhance safety and public transit system security by excluding public transit riders whose actions impact the safety and security of public transit passengers and public transit employees. The law allows BART to exclude passengers from using transit for specified periods of time, depending on the nature and the frequency of offenses committed while on transit district property. The law does not intend to target or adversely impact any particular group of individuals. The law relies in part on provisions in the state Public Utilities Code (PUC) to define those actions or behaviors which passengers see as disruptive and the number of offenses for which a passenger may be cited. Generally, AB 716:

- 1) Authorizes BART to issue a prohibition order to any person who, on at least three separate occasions within a period of 90 consecutive days, is cited for an infraction committed in or on a vehicle, bus stop, or light rail station of the transit district for any act that is a violation as specified in statute.
- 2) Authorizes a prohibition order to be issued to a person arrested or convicted for any misdemeanor or felony committed in or on BART District property.
- 3) Prohibits a person subject to a prohibition order from entering the property, facilities, or vehicles of BART for a period of time deemed appropriate.
- 4) Specifies prohibition processes, notification procedures, and hearing and appeals procedures.
- 5) Requires BART to establish an advisory committee and to ensure that personnel charged with issuance and enforcement of prohibition orders receive training as emphasized and recommended by the advisory committee.

In 2014, SB 1154 introduced by Senator Hancock (D-Berkeley) extended the sunset provisions relating to BART's authority until January 1, 2018. SB 1154 also clarified that BART Police are in fact included in the general provisions of the law enforcement response to domestic violence and have the authority to issue EPOs and take temporary custody of firearms or deadly weapons while conducting domestic violence investigations.

II. BART Transit System Profile

BART is a special governmental agency created by the State of California consisting of Alameda County, Contra Costa County, and the County of San Francisco. San Mateo County, which hosts six BART stations, is not part of the BART district. BART is governed by nine publicly elected Board of Directors, who represent specific geographic areas within the BART District. Each Board member serves a four-year term.

BART connects San Francisco with cities in the East Bay and suburbs in northern San Mateo County operating on five lines, 104 miles of track with 45 stations in four counties. A number of bus transit services connect to BART, which, while managed by separate agencies, is integral to the successful functioning of the system. The primary providers include the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (Muni), Alameda Contra Costa Transit (AC Transit), San Mateo County Transit (Sam Trans), County Connection, and the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District (Golden Gate Transit).

With average weekday ridership of over 420,000 passengers, BART is the fifth-busiest heavy rail rapid transit system in the nation. BART's single day ridership record was set on Wednesday, October 31, 2012, with over 568,061 passengers on the day of the San Francisco Giants' victory parade for their World Series championship. At its maximum, BART has the longest trains of any passenger rail system in the United States.

The BART Police Department is comprised of 296 personnel, of which 206 are sworn peace officers. The BART Chief of Police commands the department, which is the agency's sole lawenforcement entity and provides a full range of police services. A Citizens Review Board provides an independent citizen oversight system to ensure that complaints are investigated through an objective and fair process for all parties involved. An Independent Police Auditor is appointed to the Review Board and reports to the Board of Directors. The 11 member Citizen Review Board has the power to review policies, investigate complaints, and recommend discipline.

III. Conclusions and Observations

1. Fewer Prohibition Orders in 2015

Despite a three percent increase in ridership from 2014 to 2015 (123,160,011 to 126,844,557), fewer prohibition orders were issued in 2015 compared to 2014 (255 to 281). This corresponds with an overall 10 percent decrease in reported part 1 crimes and a 6 percent decrease in violent reported crimes. With the increase in ridership and decrease in criminal activity, AB716 is one of a number of tools assisting with crime reduction and the prevention of violence against persons on District property. Suspects known to commit violence against others are precluded from returning to District property, and therefore are less likely to commit another offense during the specified period of prohibition.

Prohibition orders were issued at 41 stations in 2015, the same number as in 2014. The percentage of prohibition orders issued for felonies and misdemeanors in 2015 virtually stayed the same, as did orders across gender, age, and race. Similar to 2014, more prohibition orders were issued during the warmer months (June through August) when ridership numbers were at yearly highs. The highest percentage of prohibition orders issued in both 2014 and 2015 continued to be at stations located in the core of the BART system (Oakland and San Francisco).

2. Reduction of Prohibition Orders Issued for Crimes of Violence

A reduction in the issuance of prohibition orders was notable in the areas of robbery, batteries/threats involving patrons and threats/batteries involving employees (including officers).

Crime	2014	2015
Robbery	33	29
Battery/Threats Patrons	57	44
Battery/Threats Employees	68	50
Total	158	123

The TSAC believes the overall reduction of prohibition orders in many of the above categories were primarily due to:

- Heightened patron awareness and reporting of criminal activity
- Increase employee awareness and training
- Low recidivism rate (14 arrested for violating order in 2015 compared to 23 in 2014)

The TSAC considers assaults on employees a continued problem. It should be noted, persons who commit these offenses, and do not get apprehended, are not included in the prohibition order statistics.

3. Slight Increase in Domestic Batteries

Twenty-five percent of prohibition orders issued in 2015 involved domestic violence cases (64 total), a slight increase from 2014, where domestic violence cases made up 20% (55 total) of orders. Because of its convenience, BART stations are considered a neutral and mutual child custody exchange location for the courts. These meetings sometimes lead to domestic violence despite requests for officers to stand by during the exchanges. The TSAC believes these incidents may be decreased with the recent authority granted to BART Police to issue Emergency Protective Orders (EPO) at stations under SB 1154 (Hancock), along continued outreach and public awareness efforts.

4. Assaults Related to Fare Evasion

The TSAC believes that increased fare evasion continues to be a problem not addressed by AB 716. Patrons are often affected by these violators, especially riders with disabilities who must use specific routes and elevators to enter and exit BART stations. TSAC recommends that system wide statistics be reviewed to determine if there is an actual correlation between chronic fare evasion and other crime related activity. In spite of specific restrictions expressed by legislators with respect to fare evasion, the TSAC recommends the provisions in this legislation be strongly reconsidered so that all patrons feel safe while in the BART system.

5. Increased Focus on Youth Outreach

Eleven people under 18 years old were issued prohibition orders in 2015 compared to nineteen in 2014. BART PD has plans to continue to work with the various local schools within the BART service area to achieve their program goals of reducing recidivism of delinquent behavior, youth violence, and gang activity. Special attention will be paid to demographics, age and ethnicity as outreach programs are created and designed for the groups that have the greatest needs. BART Police is already involved in programs in Alameda County such as Gang Resistance Education and Training (GREAT) and Strengths for Trauma Resilience Overcoming & Growing (STRONG). GREAT offers many components to help children focus on life skills while helping them avoid delinquent behavior and violence. STRONG is a family systems-based intervention for youth with co-occurring disruptive behavior and community violence exposure.

6. Appeals

Out of the 255 prohibition orders issued in 2015, only five (2%) were appealed. This percentage was the same as in 2014. All five orders were issued for a term of 30 days. One appeal was

voided by the Exclusion Administrator due to the order not being issued in compliance with AB716. Two appeals were modified by the Administrator to accommodate work and/or school schedules, and two were upheld. One was appealed to the second level of the appeal process by requesting a review by a Hearing Officer, after being initially upheld by the Exclusion Administrator. The ruling by the Hearing Officer was upheld for the original term of prohibition.

7. More Time Needed to Determine BART Employee Impact

The TSAC believes that continued training for employees has been an important way to reduce incidents involving station agents and system service workers, who find themselves in the middle of disruptive and sometimes violent public actions. The TSAC is currently reviewing training content with union leaders of station agents, fore workers, train operators, transportation supervisors and system service workers for possible modifications to the current training guidelines. The TSAC believes potential benefits from continued and additional training could include:

- Helping employees identify potentially dangerous situations
- Helping employees identify individuals with specific needs
- Reducing employee battery

8. BART Improvements Needed to Reduce Unruly Behavior

The TSAC also suggests the following to help minimize unruly behavior:

- Additional signage at station agent booths to help inform the public of AB 716.
- Moving fare machines and elevators to more visible locations.
- Increasing employee staffing levels at stations (To include new station agents for opening and closing shifts, and the continued hiring of police officers and community service officers, increasing uniform staffing)
- Public Service Announcements (PSAs) via the media regarding AB 716.

9. Need to Continue Important Outreach Efforts Involving Mental Health

Out of 1190 calls for service involving individuals with mental health issues in 2015, only one prohibition order was given in comparison to nine in 2014. In all cases involving mental illness issues, subjects are transported to and evaluated at a psychiatric facility prior to being booked at the jail. Sixteen percent of the prohibition orders issued in 2015 were homeless-related, compared with twelve percent in 2014.

BART Police is constantly addressing issues and concerns of individuals struggling with homelessness and/or mental health issues. Crisis Intervention Training (CIT) officers and police personnel continue to offer services at the scene by referring them to appropriate resources through local city or county organizations. The BART Police CIT Coordinator is either on scene or

meets with police personnel to review individual cases and develop an action plan to connect or re-connect individuals to specific mental health services on a daily basis. BART Police track cases involving individuals with mental health issues, and shares the information with allied agencies during line-ups or via email.

The following BART police personnel have completed crisis intervention training:

2 of 2	Deputy Chiefs
10 of 11	Lieutenants
32 of 33	Sergeants
134 of 138	Officers
13 of 15	Dispatchers+2 Disp. Supervisors
40 of 41	CSOs
	93% TOTAL Personnel are CIT
232 of 241	Trained

To increase relationships with key mental health groups in the Bay Area, BART PD has worked with the Homeless Outreach Teams (HOT) in all four of the counties it serves. Information on mental health incidents is gathered and shared at monthly Multi-Disciplinary Forensic Team (MDFT) workgroup meetings in Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Mateo Counties. The MDFT is a voluntary coalition of law enforcement agencies and allied service providers who assist individuals with mental illness, substance abuse and co-occurring disorders who are at high risk of involuntary hospitalization and are arrested for behaviors and activity related to their disabilities. BART PD is now able to make referrals to the DA's office through collaboration with MDFT workgroups to help specify treatment for individuals and focus on chronic cases that tend to exhaust valuable resources for many police agencies.

Prohibition Order Statistics

(From January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015)

Crime	Description	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage	In- Custody	Citations
664/187 PC	Attempt Murder	2	1	2	0
211 PC	Robbery	29	12	28	1
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Station Agents	25	10	22	3
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Train Operator	1	0	1	0
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats to System Service	4	2	4	0
243(b)/422PC	Battery/Threats to Officer	20	8	19	1
243(e)(1) PC	Domestic Battery	64	25	64	0
243.3/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Patron	44	17	34	10
243.4 PC	Sexual Battery	6	2	6	0
245 PC	Assault with Deadly Weapon	5	2	5	0
273d(a) PC	Child Abuse	3	1	2	1
314 PC	Indecent Exposure	18	7	18	0
415 PC	Disturbing the Peace	5	2	3	2
417 PC	Brandishing Weapon	6	2	6	0
647(A) PC	Lewd Conduct	5	2	4	1
11351 H&S	Sales of Illegal Narcotics	18	7	18	0
Total		255	100%	236	19

Crime	Description	Prohibition Orders	Prohibition Appeals	Mental Illness *	Homeless	Juvenile (<18)
664/187 PC	Attempt Murder	2	0	0	0	0
211 PC	Robbery	29	0	0	3	6
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Station Agent	25	1	0	5	0
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Train Operator	1	0	0	0	0
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats to System Service	4	0	0	1	0
243(b)/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Officer	20	0	1	8	1
243(e)(1)PC	Domestic Battery	64	1	0	2	0
243.3 PC	Battery/Threats to Patron	44	3	0	9	2
243.4 PC	Sexual Battery	6	0	0	1	0
245 PC	Assault w/ Deadly Weapon	5	0	0	0	1
273d(a) PC	Child Abuse	3	0	0	1	0
314 PC	Indecent Exposure	18	0	0	5	0
415 PC	Disturbing the Peace	5	0	0	2	0
417 PC	Brandishing Weapon	6	0	0	3	0
647(A) PC	Lewd Conduct	5	0	0	1	0
11351 H&S	Sales of Illegal Narcotics	18	0	0	0	0
Total		255	5(2%)	1(0%)	41(16%)	10(4%)

^{*}In all cases involving mental illness issues, subjects are transported to and evaluated at a psychiatric facility prior to being booked at the jail.

Crime Classification	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
Felony	59	23
Misdemeanor	196	77
Total	255	100

Gender	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
Male	211	83
Female	44	17
Total	255	100

Ethnic Background	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
Black	166	65
Hispanic	34	13
Other	15	6
White	40	16
Total	255	100

Age	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
17 & Under	11	4
18 to 25	80	32
26 to 35	61	24
36 to 45	49	19
46 to 55	33	13
56 +	21	8
Total	255	100

Age/Ethnic Background	Black	Hispanic	Other	White
17 & Under	11	0	0	0
18 to 25	54	16	3	7
26 to 35	39	8	4	10
36 to 45	28	5	3	13
46 to 55	21	2	3	7
56 +	13	3	2	3
Total	166	34	15	40

Month	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
January	23	9
February	17	7
March	22	8
April	18	7
May	17	7
June	25	10
July	29	11
August	25	10
September	15	6
October	20	8
November	25	10
December	19	7
Total	255	100

Zone	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
Zone 1 (Oakland)	64	25
Zone 2R (Berkeley & West Contra Costa County)	36	14
Zone 2C (East Contra Costa County)	37	15
Zone 3 (South Alameda County)	49	19
Zone 4 (San Francisco County)	50	20
Zone 5 (San Mateo County)	19	7
Total	255	100

Station	Prohibition Orders	Percentage
12 th Street (Oakland)	10	4
16 th Street (San Francisco)	6	2
19 th Street (Oakland)	1	0
24 th Street (San Francisco)	1	0
Ashby (Berkeley)	5	2
Balboa Park (San Francisco)	1	0
Bay Fair (San Leandro)	12	5
Berkeley	2	1
Castro Valley	3	1
Civic Center (San Francisco)	10	4
Coliseum (Oakland)	10	4
Colma	1	0
Concord	9	4
Daly City	7	3
Dublin Pleasanton	3	1
El Cerrito Del Norte	15	5
El Cerrito Plaza	4	2
Embarcadero (San Francisco)	11	5
Fremont	7	3
Fruitvale (Oakland)	7	3
Glen Park (San Francisco)	4	2
Hayward	11	5
Lafayette	3	1
Lake Merritt (Oakland)	8	3
MacArthur (Oakland)	12	5
Millbrae	2	1
Montgomery Street (San Francisco)	1	0
North Berkeley	1	0
North Concord	3	1
Pittsburg	16	6
Pleasant Hill	1	0
Powell Street (San Francisco)	17	7
Richmond	11	5
San Leandro	6	2
San Francisco Airport	2	1
South Hayward	2	1
South San Francisco	6	2
Union City	3	1
Walnut Creek	3	1
West Dublin	1	0
West Oakland	17	7
41 Stations	255	100

Public Utilities Code Section 99171(a) (1) (A)

There have been 11 violations from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015. No one was cited on three separate occasions within a period of 90 consecutive days.

Violation	Description	Number of Violations
640(d)(3) PC	Urinating/Defecating	1
640(d)(4) PC	Blocking Free Movement	10
Total		11

Prohibition Order Statistics (From January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014)

Crime	Description	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage	In-Custody	Citations
211 PC	Robbery	33	12	33	0
215 PC	Carjacking	1	0	1	0
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats Station Agents	26	9	23	3
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats Train Operator	4	1	4	0
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats System Service	3	1	3	0
243(b)/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Officer	35	12	35	0
243(e)(1) PC	Domestic Battery	55	20	52	3
243.3/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Patrons	57	21	43	14
243.4 PC	Sexual Battery	5	2	5	0
245 PC	Assault with Deadly Weapon	12	4	12	0
273d PC	Child Abuse	1	0	1	0
314 PC	Indecent Exposure	19	7	19	0
417 PC	Brandishing Weapon	10	4	9	1
647 PC	Lewd Conduct	5	2	4	1
647.6(a) PC	Annoy/Molest Child Under 18	1	0	1	0
11351 H&S	Sales of Illegal Narcotics	14	5	14	0
Total		281	100	259	22

Crime	Description	Arrests	Prohibition	Mental	Homeless	Juvenile

			Appeals	Illness *		(<18)
211 PC	Robbery	33	1	0	4	7
215 PC	Carjacking	1	0	0	0	0
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Employees	26	1	1	7	1
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats Train Op	4	0	0	0	0
243/422 PC	Battery/Threats System S	3	0	0	0	0
243(b)/422 PC	Battery/Threats to Officers	35	0	4	3	2
243(e)(1) PC	Domestic Battery	55	0	0	2	3
243.3 PC	Battery/Threats to Patrons	57	2	3	7	4
243.4 PC	Sexual Battery	5	0	0	1	0
245 PC	Assault w/ Deadly Weapon	12	1	0	3	1
273d PC	Child Abuse	1	0	0	0	0
314 PC	Indecent Exposure	19	0	1	4	0
417 PC	Brandishing Weapon	10	1	0	2	0
647a PC	Lewd Conduct	5	0	0	2	0
647.6(a) PC	Annoy/Molest Child	1	0	0	0	0
11351 H&S	Sales of Illegal Narcotics	14	0	0	0	1
Total		281	6(2%)	9(3%)	35(12%)	19(7%)

^{*}In all cases involving mental illness issues, subjects are transported to and evaluated at a psychiatric facility prior to being booked at the jail.

Crime Classification	Prohibition	
	Orders	Percentage
	Issued	

Felony	71	25
Misdemeanor	210	75
Total	281	100

Gender	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
Male	227	81
Female	54	19
Total	281	100

Ethnic Background	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
Black	174	61
Hispanic	53	19
Other	13	5
White	41	15
Total	281	100

Age	Prohibition Orders Issued	Percentage
17 & Under	19	7
18 to 25	86	31
26 to 35	75	27
36 to 45	39	14
46 to 55	38	13
56 +	22	8
Total	281	100

Age/Ethnic Background	Black	Hispanic	Other	White
17 & Under	13	4	0	2

18 to 25	55	15	4	14
26 to 35	47	17	2	9
36 to 45	23	5	4	7
46 to 55	22	9	2	5
56 +	14	3	1	4
Total	174	53	13	41

Month	Prohibition Orders	Percentage	
January	20	7	
February	19	7	
March		7	
April	21	8 10	
May	28		
June	27	10	
July 27	27	10	
August	23	23 8	
September	28	10	
October	21	7	
November	26	9	
December	21	7	
Total	281	100	

Zone	Prohibition Orders	Percentage
Zone 1 (Oakland)	68	24
Zone 2R (Berkeley & West Contra Costa County)	24	8
Zone 2C (East Contra Costa County)	36	13
Zone 3 (South Alameda County)	69	25
Zone 4 (San Francisco County)	70	25
Zone 5 (San Mateo County)	14	5
Total	281	100

Station	Prohibition Orders	Percentage
12 th Street (Oakland)	4	2

16 th Street (San Francisco)	1	0
19 th Street (Oakland)	5	2
24 th Street (San Francisco)	4	2
Ashby (Berkeley)	1	0
	9	3
Balboa Park (San Francisco)	1	8
Bay Fair (San Leandro)	20	
Berkeley Cartes Valley	2	1
Castro Valley	4	2
Civic Center (San Francisco)	19	7
Coliseum (Oakland)	8	3
Concord	14	5
Daly City	7	3
Dublin Pleasanton	7	3
El Cerrito Del Norte	14	5
El Cerrito Plaza	2	1
Embarcadero (San Francisco)	5	2
Fremont	8	3
Fruitvale (Oakland)	15	6
Glen Park (San Francisco)	1	0
Hayward	15	6
Lake Merritt (Oakland)	13	5
MacArthur (Oakland)	10	4
Millbrae	2	1
Montgomery Street (San Francisco)	4	2
North Berkeley	2	1
North Concord	4	2
Orinda	2	1
Pittsburg	4	2
Pleasant Hill	5	2
Powell Street (San Francisco)	26	10
Richmond	4	2
Rockridge (Oakland)	4	2
San Bruno	3	1
San Leandro	7	3
San Francisco Airport	1	0
South Hayward	4	2
South San Francisco	2	1
Union City	3	1
Walnut Creek	6	2
West Dublin	1	0
West Oakland	9	3
41 Stations	281	100

Public Utilities Code Section 99171(a) (1) (A)

There have been 6 violations from January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014. No one was cited on three separate occasions within a period of 90 consecutive days.

Violation	Description	Number of Violations	Percentage of Total Violations
640(d)(1)	Penal Code - Willfully disturbing others on or in a system facility or vehicle by engaging in boisterous or unruly behavior.	0	0
640(d)(3)	Penal Code - Urinating or defecating in a system facility or vehicle, except in a lavatory. However, this paragraph shall not apply to a person who cannot comply with this paragraph as a result of a disability, age, or a medical condition.	6	100
Total		6	100