



Office of the
**INDEPENDENT
POLICE AUDITOR**

BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

MONTHLY REPORT

July 2020

Issue date: August 10, 2020

This report is filed pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-05 (B), which requires the Office of the Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) to submit reports to the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB). This report provides information for the period **July 1, 2020 through July 31, 2020.**¹

(The Quantitative Report includes all complaints received and administrative investigations initiated by both OIPA and the BART Police Department (BPD) Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB)).

QUANTITATIVE REPORT

	Cases Filed ²	Open Cases ³	IAB Investigations Resolved*	OIPA Investigations Concluded ⁴	Cases Appealed to OIPA ⁵	Cases Appealed by BPCRB ⁶
July 2019	11	61		0	0	0
August 2019	9	62		1	0	0
September 2019	13	53		1	0	0
October 2019	6	53	6	1	0	0
November 2019	10	59	2	1	0	0
December 2019	6	58	6	1	0	0
January 2020	8	53	13	2	0	0
February 2020	15	56	10	0	0	0
March 2020	9	54	11	1	0	0
April 2020	6	44	18	1	1	0
May 2020	4	40	6	1	0	0
June 2020	7	44	4	0	0	0
July 2020	1	41	3	1	0	0

TYPES OF CASES FILED

Citizen Complaints (Formal)	1
Informal Complaints ⁷	0
Administrative Investigations	0
Inquiries ⁸	0
TOTAL	1

CITIZEN COMPLAINTS RECEIVED PER DEPARTMENT⁹

OIPA	0
BART Police Department	1
TOTAL	1

¹*OIPA added a new data column to the October 2019 Monthly Report which will be populated going forward.

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During July 2020, 1 **Citizen Complaint (Formal)** was received by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2020-048)	Officer #1: • Force	BPD initiated an investigation.	18

During October 2019, 1 **Informal Complaint** was received by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Investigation Initiated
1 (IA2019-115)	Officer #1: • Courtesy	BPD initiated a Supervisor Referral. ¹⁰	32

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS CONCLUDED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During July 2020, 1 **Citizen Complaint** was concluded by OIPA:

Complaint # (OIPA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (OIPA #19-41) (IA2019-111)	Officer used excessive force and an improper search during an improper detention and arrest. Two officers also improperly elevated the charge to misdemeanor. One officer did not properly review the use of force and another officer was rude to complainant.	Officer #1: • Force – Sustained • Policy/Procedure (Charging) – Sustained • Arrest/Detention (Counts 1-2) – Exonerated • Search or Seizure – Exonerated Officer #2: • Policy/Procedure (Charging) – Exonerated Officer #3: • Performance of Duty – Sustained Officer #4 • Courtesy – Not Sustained	312	279

During July 2020, 1 **Citizen Complaint (Formal)** was concluded by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2020-013)	Officer was rude to complainant and refused to provide identification.	Officer #1: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer – Sustained • Courtesy – Not Sustained	180	146

During July 2020, **1 Administrative Investigation** was concluded by BPD:

Investigation # (IA Case #)	Nature of Allegations	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Investigation Initiated	Days Taken to Address Allegation
1 (IA2020-006)	Officer acted unprofessionally and used force. Two officers failed to properly record and report the contact, and one of those officers failed to intercede and miscategorized video evidence.	Officer #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Force – Sustained • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer – Sustained • Policy/Procedure (Reporting) – Sustained Officer #2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy/Procedure (Intercede and Report) – Sustained • Performance of Duty (Video Classification) – Not Sustained Officers #1-2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy/Procedure (AXON Camera) – Sustained 	196	176

During June 2020, **1 Informal Complaint** was addressed by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2020-016)	Employee did not properly respond to a call for service.	Employee #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct Unbecoming – Supervisor Referral 	179	151

DISCIPLINE ISSUED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During July 2020, BPD took the following actions in cases where one or more allegations of misconduct were sustained:

Case #	Nature of Sustained Allegation(s) †	Classification of Sustained Allegation(s)	Action Taken
1	Officer did not properly document a law enforcement contact.	Officer #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy/Procedure (AXON Camera) 	Officers #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Letter of Discussion

† Some details regarding the nature of sustained allegations may be withheld to avoid unintentionally breaching mandatory confidentiality requirements. In some instances, the relative infrequency of the alleged misconduct may tend to allow for identification of the officer in violation of the applicable CA Penal Code section (832.7).

ADDITIONAL NOTES

In accordance with the BART Citizen Oversight Model (Model), OIPA investigates certain complaints, conducts complainant-initiated appeals, and also monitors and/or reviews complaint investigations conducted by BPD. Though potentially work-intensive, some complaint investigation reviews are completed informally, with any concerns being addressed through a conference with BPD's Internal Affairs investigators. Noting the various kinds of work that OIPA undertakes with regard to complaints and investigations, the following chart includes some of the pending cases in which OIPA is involved as of the end of this reporting period.

Investigations Being Conducted	10
Complainant-Initiated Appeals	2
BPD-Initiated Appeals	0
Investigations Being Monitored	51
Investigations Reviewed During Current Month	12†

† This number does not include all OIPA reviews, as OIPA commonly looks at a variety of cases in the Internal Affairs database to obtain updates on both pending and completed investigations.

The Model provides that OIPA shall have authority to require follow-up investigation into any citizen complaint or allegation that is handled by BPD. The OIPA Monthly Report will reflect information regarding monitored cases with detail not to exceed that which is allowable under state law. The investigations reviewed by OIPA during the period did not generate any notable recommendations for revisions or additional investigation.¹¹

¹ In addition to reporting on complaints received by the BART Police Department, the Citizen Oversight Model requires reporting on all complaints received by the "Citizen Board, Office of the District Secretary, and other District departments." As complaints received by the BART Police Citizen Review Board are customarily directed to OIPA for further action, such complaints are included in the Quantitative Report above; OIPA is also made aware of additional complaints about the BART Police Department by the Office of the District Secretary or other District departments.

² This number includes all Citizen Complaints filed against members of the BART Police Department, as well as Administrative Investigations generated internally by BART Police Department members (as opposed to being filed by a citizen). This number also includes OIPA previously completed cases that have been re-opened during the current reporting period.

³ This number indicates all investigations that are open as of the end of the reporting period. It includes Citizen Complaints (regardless of whether the investigation is being conducted by OIPA, the BART Police Department, or both) and Administrative Investigations.

⁴ This number includes all cases completed by OIPA during the reporting period for which OIPA's findings are required by the BART Citizen Oversight Model to be submitted to the BART Police Citizen Review Board. It therefore includes independent investigations, as well as reviews of completed BART Police Department investigations initiated via appeal from a complainant. Unless otherwise noted, it does not include reviews of BART Police Department investigations initiated at the discretion of OIPA, which happen commonly and do not always generate a formal report; it also does not include reviews conducted by OIPA of complaint investigations where the complaint was filed with OIPA but did not fall under OIPA's investigative jurisdiction.

⁵ This number refers to appeals filed with OIPA by complainants who have been issued the findings of the BART Police Department's internal investigation into their complaint regarding on-duty incidents. OIPA has a responsibility to review such appeals pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (E).

⁶ This number refers to all appeals initiated by the BART Police Citizen Review Board after receiving and reviewing the findings issued by OIPA in a given case. The routes of all such appeals are described in detail in the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (B) (iv-v).

⁷ The BART Police Department defines an Informal Complaint as, "A comment on the actions of a Department employee, where the reporting party expressly states that he or she does not feel that the matter should be formally investigated with the understanding that an Informal Complaint does not hold the potential to result in disciplinary action against the employee." (BART Police Department Policy Manual, Policy 1020.1.1(d)).

⁸ BPD policy provides that if a person alleges or raises an issue that does not constitute a violation of Department policy, procedure, rules, regulations, or the law, the Department will classify the issue as an inquiry.

⁹ It is important to note that OIPA does not separate citizen complaints it receives into "Formal" and "Informal" classifications. This chart reflects all citizen complaints received by OIPA and all Formal Complaints received by the BART Police Department.

¹⁰ A Supervisor Referral refers to an instance involving an Inquiry or an Informal Complaint. An assigned supervisor addresses the issue informally with the involved employee and documents the content of the conversation with a memorandum to IAB.

¹¹ OIPA may submit recommendations to IAB regarding minor clerical or record-keeping adjustments which are intended to maintain the integrity of the data collection and record-keeping processes at BPD. These are not considered by OIPA to be substantive recommendations requiring reporting herein.