SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT
300 Lakeside Drive, P.O. Box 12688, Oakland, CA 94604-2688

Board of Directors
Minutes of the 1,835th Meeting
March 14, 2019

A regular meeting of the Board of Directors was held March 14, 2019, convening at 10:01 a.m. in the Board Room, 2040 Webster Street, Oakland, California. President Dufty presided; Patricia K. Williams, District Secretary.

Directors present: Directors Allen, Ames, Foley, Li, McPartland, Raburn, Saltzman, and Dufty.

Absent: Director Simon.

President Dufty announced that agenda items would be taken out of order and called for the General Manager’s Report.

General Manager Grace Crunican reported on steps she had taken and activities and meetings she had participated in, ridership, upcoming events, and outstanding Roll Call for Introductions items. She noted the installation of ticket vending machines inside the paid area of stations in downtown San Francisco.

Ms. Tamar Allen, Assistant General Manager, Operations, gave a presentation on the system outage on March 9, 2019. The presentation was discussed.

Consent Calendar item brought before the Board was:

1. Approval of Minutes of the Meeting of February 28, 2019.

Director Li moved that the Minutes of the Meeting of February 28, 2019, be approved. Director Saltzman seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous electronic vote. Ayes – 8: Directors Ames, Allen, Foley, Li, McPartland, Raburn, Saltzman, and Dufty. Noes - 0. Absent – 1: Director Simon.

President Dufty called for Public Comment. No comments were received.

Director Allen, Vice Chairperson of the Administration Committee, brought the matter of Agreement with Salesforce, Inc., for the Salesforce Email Studio for the District’s Existing Enterprise Licensing Agreement, before the Board. Ms. Angela West, Assistant Chief Information Officer, and Ms. Alicia Trost, Department Manager, Communications, presented the item. The item was discussed. Director Saltzman moved that the General Manager be authorized to negotiate and execute a four-year license agreement with Salesforce, Inc., in an amount not to exceed $1,126,644, to procure Salesforce Email Studio software. Director McPartland seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous electronic vote. Ayes – 8: Directors Ames, Allen, Foley, Li, McPartland, Raburn, Saltzman, and Dufty. Noes - 0. Absent – 1: Director Simon.
Director Foley, Chairperson of the Engineering and Operations Committee, brought the matter of Award of Contract No. 15LK-120A, Market Street Escalators Renovation Project, before the Board. Ms. Tamar Allen, Mr. Carl Holmes, Assistant General Manager, Planning, Development and Construction; and Ms. Shirley Ng, Group Manager, Stations Engineering and Construction, presented the item.

Peter Straus addressed the Board.

The item was discussed.

Director Raburn moved that the General Manager be authorized to award Contract No. 15LK-120A, Market Street Escalators Renovation Project, to Schindler Elevator Corporation, for the Bid Price of $96,478,922.00, subject to the District’s protest procedures; and that the General Manager be authorized to exercise Option 1 for $6,114,852.00 subject to agreement and funding availability from San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). Director Li seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous electronic vote. Ayes – 8: Directors Ames, Allen, Foley, Li, McPartland, Raburn, Saltzman, and Dufty. Noes – 0. Absent – 1: Director Simon.

Director Foley brought the matter of BART Safety, Reliability, and Traffic Relief Program (Measure RR): Equity Program and Small Business Update, before the Board. Mr. Holmes and Mr. Maceo Wiggins, Department Manager, Office of Civil Rights, presented the item. The item was discussed.

Director Raburn, Chairperson of the Planning, Public Affairs, Access, and Legislation Committee, brought the matter of Metropolitan Transportation Commission Update, CASA (Committee to House the Bay Area), before the Board. Mr. Holmes, Ms. Abigail Thorne-Lyman, Program Manager, Systems Development; and Mr. Ken Kirkey, Integrated Planning Department Director, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, presented the item. The item was discussed.

Geeta Rao addressed the Board.

Director McPartland exited the meeting.

President Dufty announced that Item 6-B-b, Plan Bay Area 2050, would be continued to a future Board Meeting.

Director Raburn brought the matter of Surveillance Technology Approvals - BART Research Methodologies, before the Board. Director Saltzman moved that having determined that the benefits of data collection and usage for research and learning as described in the Executive Decision Document outweigh the costs that may be incurred by such activity, and the proposed Surveillance Use Policy will reasonably safeguard civil liberties and civil rights, the Board approve the attached impact and use reports, "BART Research OEA- BMRDDCU-SUP-06" and "BART Research OEA-BMRDDCU-SIR-06;" and that the General Manager or her designee be authorized to proceed with the use of data collection and usage for research and learning as described in these reports. President Dufty seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous electronic vote. Ayes – 7: Directors Ames, Allen, Foley, Li, Raburn, Saltzman, and Dufty. Noes – 0. Absent – 2: Directors McPartland and Simon. (The policies and reports are attached and hereby made a part of these Minutes.)
The following individuals addressed the Board:
Gerald Cauthern
John Arantes

President Dufty announced that Item 8-A, Quarterly Report of the Independent Police Auditor, would be continued to a future meeting.

Ms. Rose Poblete, Controller/Treasurer, presented the Quarterly Report of the Controller/Treasurer for the period ending December 31, 2018. The report was discussed.

President Dufty called for Board Member Reports, Roll Call for Introductions, and In Memoriam.

Director Allen reported she had attended the Walnut Creek BART Station soft opening of the new parking garage, a Valley Link meeting, an Exchange Club of San Ramon Valley meeting, a Contra County Board of Supervisors meeting, an overnight CORE Team tour at Pittsburg/Bay Point Station, a Contra Costa Mayors Conference Meeting, and had spoken at a Rossmoor group meeting.

Director Foley reported he had attended the overnight CORE Team tour at Pittsburg/Bay Point Station and had met with Pittsburg Mayor and City Council Members.

Director Saltzman requested that the District include the Station Access Policy guidelines for access priorities to stations in construction contract specifications, with access priorities given to pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, passengers being dropped off, and those parking cars, in that order. Director Raburn seconded the request.

Director Li reported she had attended the San Francisco Outer Mission Merchants and Residents Association Meeting with President Dufty, the SPUR Good Government Awards event, and met with San Francisco State University about Gator pass.

Director Li requested that the meeting be adjourned in memory of Tess Rothstein.

Director Raburn reported he had attended the University of California Architectural Design Review Studio Event.

Director Ames reported she had attended a meeting with the City of Fremont Public Works Director and the Dumbarton Transportation Corridor Meeting in Menlo Park.

President Dufty reported that he had attended meetings with State Legislators in Sacramento.

President Dufty requested on behalf Directors Simon, Saltzman, and Raburn that the meeting be adjourned in memory of Victor McElhaney, the son Oakland City Councilwoman Lynette Gibson-McElhaney.

President Dufty requested that the meeting be adjourned in memory of Londa McCauley Jackson, mother of Byron Hudson, System Service Worker at BART.

President Dufty called for Public Comment. No comments were received.
President Dufty announced that the Board would enter into closed session under Item 12-A (Public Employee Employment) of the regular meeting agenda, and that the Board would reconvene in open session upon the conclusion of the closed session.

The Board Meeting recessed at 1:20 p.m.

______________________________________________________________________________

The Board Meeting reconvened in closed session at 1:28 p.m.

Directors present: Directors Allen, Ames, Foley, Li, Raburn, Saltzman, and Dufty.

Absent: Directors McPartland and Simon.

President Dufty and Director Li exited the meeting.

The Board Meeting recessed at 2:17 p.m.

______________________________________________________________________________

The Board Meeting reconvened in open session at 2:19 p.m.

Directors present: Directors Allen, Ames, Foley, Raburn, and Saltzman.

Absent: Directors Li, McPartland, Simon, and Dufty.

Vice President Saltzman reported there were no announcements to be made.

The Meeting was adjourned at 2:20 p.m. in memory of Londa McCouley-Jackson, Victor McElhaney, and Tess Rothstein.

Patricia K. Williams
District Secretary
Surveillance Use Policy

BART Research

Data collection & usage for research and learning

BART Research

OEA-BMRDDCU-SUP-06

21 Day BART Board Notice – Feb. 7, 2019
15 Day Public Notice – Feb. 13, 2019
BART Board Meeting – Mar. 14, 2019
This report covers a range of current and future research methodologies that may fall under the District Surveillance Ordinance. Participation in BART surveys, focus groups, or other research conducted by BART that make use of PII (Personally Identifiable Information) is strictly voluntary, and any locational information collected is used for research purposes only.

A. Purpose

This section should include: The purpose(s) that the surveillance technology is intended to advance.

BART conducts research for a variety of purposes, such as to:

- Provide market information and metrics to help inform District decisions related to strategic planning, budget priorities, station access policy, marketing strategy, and other areas.
- Gather insight into latent demand, usage of TNCs and other emerging travel modes, and understand impact on public transit usage.
- Understand effectiveness of marketing initiatives by analyzing riders’ aggregate travel behavior changes over time.
- Identify reasons for change in ridership patterns.

Methodologies using electronic and/or mobile data collection may be used to facilitate the following:

- Faster and less expensive data collection by eliminating the need to manually enter survey results.
- Expanded research capabilities using real time and location-based mobile technologies.
- “In the moment” ratings of BART facilities to improve rating accuracy, and image data that helps explain the reasons for ratings.
- The use of research panels to detect changes in travel patterns over time.
- Analysis of Bay Area residents’ travel behavior, e.g., trip purposes, travel modes, travel mode shifts, vehicle occupancies, changes in car ownership habits, as well as demographics (for both riders and non-riders).

B. Authorized Use

This section should include: The uses that are authorized, the rules and processes required prior to such use, and the uses that are prohibited.

All survey data collected through all channels and technologies shall be used for lawful market research purposes only - see Section A above. Section C shows a non-exhaustive list of various information that may be collected from users who voluntarily participate in surveys.

In soliciting respondent consent for BART research projects, BART will disclose the types of data that will be collected, the nature of potential uses of such data by BART and, as applicable, third party partners in research, and describe the mitigations taken to protect respondent privacy. This material will hereinafter be referred to as “consent statements.”

C. Data Collection

This section should include: The information that can be collected by the surveillance technology.

Data collected for research purposes may include:

- Names, addresses, emails, phone numbers
- Location information, such as points where trips started and ended, the date and time that such trips started and ended, and travel speed
- Trip purpose, mode of travel, or demographics
- Images, audio recordings, or videos
- Payment data (e.g., for fares, parking, etc. Payment data may include serial numbers, entry/exit stations, timestamps, and/or fare products loaded or used. It will not contain credit card or bank account details.)
- Self-reported survey data
- Incentives awarded or redeemed

Any data collected in the surveys must be used and handled pursuant to this policy. Survey data shall not be used for personal purposes or to follow any individual or group without probable cause or a court order. Data shall not be used to intentionally violate anyone’s right to privacy; and shall not be used to harass, intimidate, or discriminate against any individual or group.

Consent statements will delineate the data to be collected, their potential uses and BART/Research Partner mitigations to protect respondent privacy, and will be disclosed in BART’s Annual Surveillance Report.

D. Data Access
This section should include: The individuals (as a category) who can access or use the collected information, and the rules and processes required prior to access or use of the information.

- Access to survey data collected through any method described in Section A is limited to BART Research staff and the department manager.
- If BART partners with another agency, e.g., MTC’s Travel Survey, access to the data may be limited by the standards and policies of the partner agency.
- Cloud-based research platforms that BART use have access to research data. Security aspects of research software are subject to review by the OCIO to protect data security.

E. Data Protection
This section should include: The safeguards that protect information from unauthorized access, including encryption and access control mechanisms.

- BART’s computer systems will be properly secured and maintained, including ensuring that security patches, upgrades, and anti-virus updates are applied as appropriate.
- Any files containing PII will be stored on secure BART computers and will not be stored in an unencrypted format on any portable media.
- Qualtrics, the current online survey software used by BART, deploys their own security and privacy policy to protect customer data.

F. Data Retention
This section should include: The time period, if any, for which information collected by the surveillance technology will be routinely retained, the reason such retention is regularly deleted after that period lapses, and the specific conditions that must be met to retain information beyond that period.

- Research data may be retained on District computers for as long as the data are required for research, learning, and ridership development.
  - Going back to previous surveys may help BART understand if travel behavior has changed due to extraneous factors, e.g., housing crisis, employment shifts, gasoline price changes, etc. The ability to recontact past respondents can help track trends over time.
- Online survey platforms may retain data for the duration of their agreements with the District.
Upon termination of services, survey platforms will retain data for a short amount of time to allow download of data.

G. Public Access  
This section should include: How collected information can be requested by members of the public, including criminal defendants.

Requests for information under the California Public Records Act should be filed with the Office of the District Secretary. Email records@bart.gov. Phone (510) 464-6080, Fax (510) 464-6011. Mail Public Records Request c/o District Secretary BART 300 Lakeside Drive 23rd Floor Oakland, CA 94612.

PII will not be disclosed unless such disclosure is required by law or court order.

H. Third Party Data Sharing  
This section should include: If and how other BART District or non-BART District entities can access or use the information, including any required justification or legal standard necessary to do so and any obligations imposed on the recipient of the information.

BART research data will not be shared with any third party unless such disclosure is required by law or court order, or if shared under an agreement that ensures that the requirements of this document are met. For example, BART may transfer selected data to consulting firms or governmental organizations to use for travel modeling or environmental impact assessment, providing that data handling and security requirements are met. In cases such as these, where data at the individual record level are required for analysis, the third party will be required to either be under contract with BART, or bound by a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) with BART. Such contracts and NDAs will require adherence to provisions of this Surveillance Use Policy and associated Surveillance Impact Report.

In other cases, BART may help fund or participate in market research projects where other agencies or organizations lead the data collection effort and therefore BART is not able to fully control the wording of the respondent consent statement or other aspects of the project. In such cases, BART will make best efforts to have the third party conform with the provisions of this Policy, and BART will disclose the consent statement wording in its Annual Surveillance Report.

I. Training  
This section should include: A summary of the training required for any individual authorized to use the surveillance technology or to access information collected by the surveillance technology.

Access to confidential data is tightly limited, and authorized users are provided with adequate guidance to implement this policy. BART will appoint a staff person to train research staff, including proper use of consent statements, NDAs, and secure storage of data.

J. Auditing and Oversight  
This section should include: The mechanisms to ensure that the Surveillance Use Policy is followed, including internal personnel assigned to ensure compliance with the policy, internal recordkeeping of the use of the technology or access to information collected by the technology, technical measures to monitor for misuse, any independent person or entity with oversight authority.

BART Research will assign a staff person to monitor compliance with the provisions covered in this document, as well as reporting via the District’s “Surveillance Annual Report.”
Surveillance Impact Report
BART Research
Data collection & usage for research and learning
This report covers a range of current and future research methodologies that may fall under the District Surveillance Ordinance. Participation in BART surveys, focus groups, or other research conducted by BART that make use of PII (Personally Identifiable Information) is strictly voluntary, and any locational information collected is used for research purposes only.

A. Information describing the proposed surveillance technology and how it generally works.

1. **Research panels:** BART invites randomly selected customers to opt-in / volunteer to participate in future research and keeps contact information on file (e.g., email addresses or phone numbers) to contact those individuals in the future. After a customer has volunteered to participate in research, they can opt-out at any time.

2. **App-based research:** BART may occasionally recruit people to participate in app-based surveys such as travel diaries that use Location Services to automatically trigger survey invitations and/or to collect respondent trip data. This may be done by BART alone, in partnership with other regional agencies such as the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and/or by a research supplier selected by the District. Tracking of respondent location is only done with the respondent’s permission prior to any location tracking.

   Types of trip data automatically collected in an app can include location, speed, and time. Participants may also be invited to answer standard survey questions such as trip purpose, travel modes used, mode they would have used if usual mode is not available, satisfaction ratings, as well as demographics.

   With some surveys, locational data may also be used to trigger survey questions that are specific to the location a respondent is traversing. For example, locational data could be used to invite respondents to provide “in the moment” ratings of the cleanliness of a particular station while they are walking through it. This can enhance the accuracy of their ratings.

3. **Collecting information through payment media:** BART may analyze ridership or payment data, e.g., from BART tickets or Clipper cards, for research purposes. For example, BART may request ticket or Clipper serial numbers from respondents in order to analyze rider travel patterns or to measure the effectiveness of District initiatives (see example on the next page). (Note that payment data may include serial numbers, entry/exit stations, timestamps, and/or fare products loaded or used. It will not contain credit card or bank account details.)
4. **IP / Location Stamps**: Software used by BART to administer surveys may collect respondents’ IP (Internet Protocol) address and/or Location Data at the time of taking survey. All surveys taken using Qualtrics (which is the current online survey platform that BART uses) automatically collect these data when a survey is taken. Survey methods include:

- Online surveys
- Embedding survey link in website
- Pop-up intercept surveys
- QR code surveys
- App surveys
- SMS surveys
- Other survey methods that BART may adapt in the future
Currently IP and/or location data are deleted in BART’s files, but these data may be used in the future for data validation or quality control purposes. For example, for “in the moment” ratings of cleanliness inside BART stations, BART could check location data to see if it matches locations reported by survey respondents.

5. **Collecting image data:** In some research, BART may utilize image data. For example, BART could ask survey respondents to take pictures or videos to document the reason behind a low cleanliness rating. Also, BART may use audio and/or video recording to record focus group or in-person interviews as a resource to use when preparing a report afterwards or for quality control purposes. In these cases, participants are always clearly informed at the start of a session and can opt-out if they are not comfortable with being recorded.

6. **Utilizing third party research data:** BART may obtain research data from third parties for research purposes. For example, other organizations may provide BART with data, or BART may purchase data, to study travel markets or predict ridership on future extensions or connections to BART. Such data is subject to the policies of the organization providing the data.

All data collected via the methods described in this section (Section A) are subject to the “Surveillance Use Policy,” submitted with this “Surveillance Impact Report.”

**B. Information on the proposed purpose(s) for the surveillance technology.**

The research techniques discussed above serve the following purposes:

- Provide market information and metrics to help inform District decisions related to strategic planning, budget priorities, station access policy, marketing strategy, and other areas.
- Facilitate faster and less expensive data collection by eliminating the need to manually enter survey results.
- Expand research capabilities using real time and location-based mobile technologies.
- Facilitate “in the moment” ratings of BART facilities to improve rating accuracy, and image data that help explain the reasons for ratings.
- Facilitate the study of nonrider travel patterns.
- Facilitate use of research panels to detect changes in travel patterns over time.

**C. If applicable, the general location(s), it may be deployed.**

N/A

**D. Crime statistics for any location(s), if the equipment is used to deter or detect crime.**

N/A
E. An assessment identifying any potential impact on privacy rights and discussing any plans to safeguard the rights of the public.

BART has received the following statement from a privacy advocacy organization regarding this Impact Report:

“In 2013, Edward Snowden and other data experts introduced to the general public the concept of ‘meta data,’ individual data points such as phone number called and time of day. Using a simple homemade app that captured only these data points (and never the content of the phone calls), Stanford lawyer and computer scientist Jonathan Mayer was able to accurately identify 80% of the volunteers in his study, using only open source databases such as Yelp, Facebook, and Google. Among the many individuals he identified, he successfully identified a woman that had an abortion, another woman that had cancer, and a man collecting guns and growing marijuana in his home.

Today, data scientists can accurately identify over 95% of individuals based solely on 4 geospatial (time, location) data points. Human are creatures of habit, typically driving the same way to work, our house of worship, and our neighborhood grocery store. Current attempts to ‘de-identify’ or anonymize data are insufficient, due to modern day computing power and the sheer collection of data points available from public and private sources.”

In recognition of these concerns, BART has taken the following steps to mitigate any perceived risk inherent in collecting these data from its customers, including but not limited to:

1. Limiting use to market research
2. Restricting third party access
3. Restricting BART employee access to a select few
4. Retaining data only as long as necessary
5. Fully informing potential participants prior to seeking their consent

BART takes precautions to ensure that PII (Personally Identifiable Information) is protected from inadvertent public disclosure. Precautions include:

• Providing BART survey respondents with opt-in and opt-out capabilities to ensure that participation is strictly voluntary;
• Storing survey data in a protected directory only available to the research team and the OCIO; and
• Aggregating and summarizing research information related to names, addresses, emails, Clipper serial numbers, or locational data, or stripping data of PII (including blurring image data to protect privacy), prior to public release.

PII will not be disclosed to the public unless such disclosure is required by law or court order.

BART research is subject to BART’s Surveillance Technology Ordinance and a specific Surveillance Use Policy that has been publicly noticed and presented to the BART Board for approval.

F. The fiscal costs for the surveillance technology, including initial purchase, personnel and other ongoing costs, and any current or potential sources of funding.

Staff and direct costs associated with the types of research discussed in this application vary over time. Current costs include approximately $90,000 in staff time and approximately $30,000 in annual fees for online survey software. BART is considering working with MTC on a household travel survey project that will employ locational data. The cost
to BART to increase sample sizes in the BART service area, and to revise the survey as needed, is expected to be under $100,000.

G. Whether use or maintenance of the technology will require data gathered by the technology to be handled or stored by a third-party vendor on an ongoing basis.

Some BART research data will be handled and stored by a third party, e.g., when using cloud-based survey software for online surveys. See Surveillance Use Policy for specifics.

H. A summary of alternative methods (whether involving the use of a new technology or not) considered before deciding to use the proposed surveillance technology, including the costs and benefits associated with each alternative and an explanation of the reasons why each alternative is inadequate or undesirable.

Adaption of new research technology is expected to increase speed and accuracy of data collection/reporting while reducing cost. BART uses survey technologies to improve survey accuracy, expand reach, and reduce reliance on paper and staff time. There are no known alternative methods that achieve these objectives.

For example, travel diary participants could be asked to manually fill out complex and lengthy forms to record all trips taken by members of their household during a given week. However, the forms would be quite burdensome, and respondents may fail to recall some of the trips they took or may leave out other important information.

Another example: Instead of establishing random on-line research panels, BART could conduct more surveys on-board trains. But the on-board surveys are much more expensive and time consuming. While the on-board surveys provide accurate information about the on-board passenger experience, ratings of stations can be subject to memory lapse, and it doesn’t facilitate the study of changes in passenger travel patterns over time.

I. A summary of the experience, if any is known, other law enforcement entities have had with the proposed technology, including information about the effectiveness, any known adverse information about the technology such as unanticipated costs, failures, civil rights or civil liberties issues.

BART is not aware of any such adverse impacts of the research methodologies outlined in this report.